| | | TABLE 2: S | Student Learning Results | (Standard 4) | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | Use this | s table to supply data for Crit | erion 4.2. | | |
| Performance Indicator | Definition | | | | | |
| 1. Student Learning Results | A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative - An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data. | | | | | |
| | - If for any given performance measure your goal is being exceeded repeatedly, consider either increasing the goal or changing the performance measure so that action can be taken to improve the program. - For all data reported, show sample size (n=75). | | | | | |
| | | | Analysis of Results | | | |
| Performance Measure: For each assessment, identify the following - 1. Academic Program, 2. Student Learning Outcome, 3. Measurable Goal | internal, comparative) | are your current results? | <u>Analysis of Result</u> s: What did you learn from your results? | Action Taken or Improvement Made: What did you improve or what is your next step? | Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred) | |
| EXAMPLE Program - AAS in Accounting Technology; SLO - "The student will demonstrate the ability to analyze financial statements"; Goal - 80% score on embedded test questions | | student performance, the goal | Although instructors review various examples in class, students were not getting enough practice analyzing financial statements on their own outside of class. | Graded homework assignments where students analyze financial statements were introduced in 2014. This led to improved student performance on this measure. Now, further work will be done to improve the graded homework assignments. | AS in Accounting SLO: Analyze Financial Statements 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 (n=32) (n=29) (n=29) (n=35) (n=35) | |

| Analysis of Results | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Performance Measure: For each assessment, identify the following - 1. Academic Program, 2. Student Learning Outcome, 3. Measurable Goal | , • , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Current Results: What | Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results? | Action Taken or Improvement Made: What did you improve or what is your next step? | Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred) |
| Program - AS - in Business SLO 1 - Demonstrate the ability to communicate effectively, think critically, and develop problem solving skills utilizing quantitative data. Goal - Graduates will equal or exceed the national average on the ETS Profiles / MAPP exam for the last three years | ETS Profiles / MAPP exam (summative, external, comparative) | benchmark. Scores will | Emphasis of general education skills in business courses appears to have a positive effect on scores. | | A.S Business Student Learning - General Education 2013- 2014- 2015- 3-yr. 2014 2015 2016 Average n=50 n=65 n=86 A.S 444.3 442.2 446.8 444.4 Business WSCC 443.0 444.9 446.5 444.8 National 438.2 438.2 438.9 438.4 |
| Program - AS - in Business SLO 2 - Interpret financial and managerial accounting information, economic and fiscal policy data, and demonstrate knowledge of computer applications. Goal - The mean score of the Business Exit Exam will average 75 or above. | The business exit exam is a summative, internally, developed exam, and comparative data is available for several years. | above the goal for the past three years. | Business faculty review the results of the exam per the assessment schedule. Data is reported for each question and faculty who teach in each disciple are able to review the percentage of students who missed each question. Each faculty member is asked to use the results of the exam to develop objectives designed to improve the score. | Faculty have reviewed the data and have targeted topics to emphasis during the course. Improvement of the examination process will begin in Fall 2017. To date, the test has been given with pencil and paper. In the Fall 2017, the exam will be administered electronically for easier test score calculations and tracking. | 100 — 90 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 87 — 70 — 90 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 82 — 84 — 84 |
| Program - AAS Business SLO 1 - Demonstrate the ability to communicate effectively, think critically, and develop problem solving skills utilizing quantitative data. Goal - Graduates score will exceed or equal the three-year national average. | The ETS Profile exam (summative, external, comparative) | graduates of the AAS Business exceeded the | | The College has implemented a new delivery method for developmental general education studies. | A.A.S. – Business Student Learning – General Education 2013- 2014- 2015- 3-yr. 2014 2015 2016 Average n=32 n=30 n=19 |

| Analysis of Results | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Performance Measure: For each assessment, identify the following - 1. Academic Program, 2. Student Learning Outcome, 3. Measurable Goal | internal, comparative) | <u>Current Results</u> : What are your current results? | Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results? | Action Taken or Improvement Made: What did you improve or what is your next step? | Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred) |
| Program - AAS Business - Concentration Management SLO1 - Demonstrate the ability to identify traditional and functional approaches to management and human relations, identify successful components of business presentations utilizing technology. Goal - Graduate scores will average 70 percent or higher on the business Management concentration exit exam. | The business exit exam is a summative, internally, developed exam, and comparative data is available for several years. | The past three years have seen a decline in the score. However, the goal has been met during that three year period. | Students continue to meet the goal but improvements can be made to improve score. | Faculty teaching BUSN 2390 have provided additional feedback on projects that have had a positive influence. A continuation of feedback to improve the learning concepts in BUSN 2390 will be continued. | AAS Management Business Exit Exam Results 100 90 88 80 70 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 2013-2014 (n=18) 2014-2015 (n=32) 2015-2016 (n=19) 2016-2017 (n=23) |
| Program - AAS Business - Concentration Agriculture Business SLO 1 - Demonstrate knowledge of various agriculture markets and recognize best practices for various agriculture business scenarios. Goal - Graduate scores will average 65 percent or higher on the agriculture business exam. | | After three years of increasing scores, students achieved the goal score of 65% during the 2016-2017 year. | Students need exposure to practical application of business concepts as they relate to real-world scenarios. | In order to help students understand the principles of agricultural business, concepts were added across the curriculum to provide examples of applied practices. Incorporation of these principles will be expanded to all agriculture classes. | AAS Business Concentration - Agriculture Business Exit Exam 70 65 60 53 49 40 30 20 2013-2014 (n=3) 2014-2015 (n=3) 2015-2016 (n=2) 2016-2017 (n=2) |

| | Analysis of Results | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Performance Measure: For each assessment, identify the following - 1. Academic Program, 2. Student Learning Outcome, 3. Measurable Goal | , — | Current Results: What | | Action Taken or Improvement Made: What did you improve or what is your next step? | Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred) | | |
| Program - AAS Business - Concentration Culinary Arts SLO 1 - Develop an understanding of the basic principles of sanitation and safety and nutrition, and to be able to apply them to foodservice operations. Goal - Graduates will score average 65 percent or higher on the culinary arts exit exam. | The business exit exam is a summative, internally, developed exam, and comparative data is available for several years. | The past three years have seen an improvement in scores except for a slight decline last year. | The workshops that were provided by local chefs on what to expect as an employee has a positive effect. | Continue to add more workshops for students. Also, involvement in Skills USA competition will reinforce these skills. | AAS Business - Culinary Arts Business Exit Exam 76 77 70 70 69 68 66 64 62 60 58 56 2013-2014 (n=17) 2014-2015 (n=17) 2015-2016 (n=13) 2016-2017 (n=13) | | |
| Program - AAS Business - Concentration Hotel/Restaurant Management SLO 1 - Identify the structure and operating characteristics of the different sections of the hospitality industry: food service, lodging and tourism. Goal - Graduate scores will average 70 percent or higher on the hotel and restaurant management examination. | The business exit exam is a summative, internally, developed exam, and comparative data is available for several years. | This could also be a result of the low number of students graduating in this program. | Faculty have targeting reinforcing industry characteristics throughout all of the Hotel/Restaurant courses. Also, an extensive recruitment plan was implemented in 2016 by the department head. | Provide targeted homework assignments in Hotel/Restaurant Management courses | AAS Business - Hotel/Restaurant Management Business Exit Exam 81 70 58 50 40 30 20 10 0 2013-2014 (n=0) 2014-2015 (n=4) 2015-2016 (n=1) 2016-2017 (n=1) | | |

| Analysis of Results | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Performance Measure: For each assessment, identify the following - 1. Academic Program, 2. Student Learning Outcome, 3. Measurable Goal | What is your measurement instrument or process? Do not use grades. Indicate type of instrument (e.g. direct, formative, internal, comparative) | Current Results: What | What did you learn from | Action Taken or Improvement Made: What did you improve or what is your next step? | Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred) | |
| Program - AAS Business - Concentration - Paralegal Studies SLO 1 - Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of specific areas o substantive law and apply the law to the resolution of factual stations. Goal - Graduates scores will average 70 percent on the Paralegal Exit Exam. | The business exit exam is a summative, internally, developed exam, and comparative data is available for several years. | The goal has been met for the past four years. | and appropriate use of the legal | The improvements were made from students better using and understanding legal forms. The review of the process will continue. | AAS Business - Paralegal Studies Exit Exam 76 77 78 79 70 70 69 68 67 2013-2014 (n=11) 2014-2015 (n=13) 2015-2016 (n=8) 2016-2017 (n=11) | |
| Program - AS Computer Science SLO 1 - Demonstrate the ability to communicate effectively, think critically, and develop problemsolving skills. Goal - Graduates will exceed the national average for the last three years. | ETS Profiles / MAPP exam (summative, external, comparative) | benchmark. Scores will continue to be monitored. | | programs emphasize general education skills in our courses. | A.S. – Computer Science Student Learning – General Education 2013- 2014- 2015- 3-yr. 2014 2015 2016 Average n=5 n=7 n=3 A.S. – 425.33 434.6 426.7 444.4 Computer Science WSCC 443.0 444.9 446.5 444.8 National 438.2 438.2 438.9 438.4 | |